AMSER Case of the Month September 2023

47-year-old female with left-sided weakness and a history of stroke and prior motor vehicle accident

> Melody Chiang, BS¹, Karthik Reddy, BS¹, Nicholas H. Osborne, MD², J. Rajiv Bapuraj, MD³



¹University of Michigan Medical School ²University of Michigan, Department of Vascular Surgery ³University of Michigan, Department of Neuroradiology



Patient Presentation

History:

- 47-year-old black female with a history of:
 - 4 months of intermittent sciatica, left-hand pain, and left-sided arm and leg weakness and numbness following a motor vehicle accident (MVA) 4 months prior
 - Patent foramen ovale
 - Stroke in R MCA distribution occurring 1 month prior and resolution of occlusion s/p IV TPA
- Presenting for evaluation of left-sided weakness and a potential source of stroke.



Patient Presentation

Physical Exam Findings:

- Finger tapping slower on the left than right
- Cranial nerves intact
- Full strength in deltoids, biceps, triceps, hip flexors, knee flexors and extensors, and foot dorsiflexors
- Normal gait



Pertinent Labs

- A1C 5 (nl 4.2-5.6%)
- LDL 72 (nl <100 mg/dL)
- HDL 77 (nl 40-60 mg/dL)
- ANA neg.



What Imaging Should We Order?



Select the applicable ACR Appropriateness Criteria

> Upon initial admission for treatment of suspected stroke 1 month prior to the current presentation, the patient had already received imaging confirming stroke in R MCA territory and appropriate treatment.

Variant 4: New focal neurologic defect, fixed or worsening. Longer than 6 hours. Suspected stroke.			
Radiologic Procedure	Rating	Comments	RRL*
MRI head without IV contrast	8	Parenchymal brain imaging and CT or MR vascular imaging of the head and neck should be considered. Noncontrast head CT is often obtained first to assess for hemorrhage or large infarct. Can be useful if there is a contraindication to contrast. MRI is more sensitive than CT for acute infarct.	0
MRI head without and with IV contrast	8	Parenchymal brain imaging and CT or MR vascular imaging of the head and neck should be considered. Noncontrast head CT is often obtained first to assess for hemorrhage or large infarct. MRI is more sensitive than CT for acute infarct.	0
MRA head and neck without IV contrast	8	Can be obtained in conjunction with MRI head. Preferred MR vascular imaging of the head and neck includes noncontrast head MRA and contrast-enhanced neck MRA. May be useful in patients with renal failure or contrast allergies.	0
MRA head and neck without and with IV contrast	8	Can be obtained in conjunction with MRI head. Preferred MR vascular imaging of the head and neck includes noncontrast head MRA and contrast-enhanced neck MRA.	0
CT head without IV contrast	8	Noncontrast head CT is often obtained first to assess for hemorrhage or large infarct. MRI is more sensitive than CT for acute infarct.	♚♚♚
CTA head and neck with IV contrast	8	CTA can be obtained after NCCT.	€€€
Arteriography cervicocerebral	6		♚♚♚
CT head perfusion with IV contrast	5		♚♚♚
MRI head perfusion with IV contrast	5		0
CT head with IV contrast	3		♚♚♚
CT head without and with IV contrast	3		♚♚♚
US duplex Doppler carotid	2		0
Rating Scale: 1,2,3 Usually not appropriate; 4,5,6 May be appropriate; 7,8,9 Usually appropriate			*Relative Radiation Level

This imaging modality was ordered by the consulting neurologist when the patient presented for evaluation of the source of the stroke.

This imaging modality was ordered by the consulting neurologist when the patient presented for evaluation of the source of the stroke.



MRI Findings (unlabeled)





RMSER

MRI Findings (labeled)





T2

Confirmation of subacute infarction in the right basal ganglia extending into the external capsule.

MSER

CTA Findings (unlabeled)









CTA Findings (labeled)





An incomplete shelf-like projection in the lumen of the carotid is visible on both the R and L sides, suggesting the presence of bilateral incomplete carotid webs.

Right Carotid



Carotid US with Doppler was ordered for confirmation of character of filling defect



Left Carotid US Findings (unlabeled)

LT BULB/ICA SAG _ 40fps 3.5cm

🞿 📾 Fr509

Longitudinal



Transverse

MSER

Left Carotid US Findings (labeled)

LT BULB/ICA SAG _ 40fps 3.5cm

Longitudinal



Transverse

MSER

A thin echogenic flap is present at the left carotid bifurcation.

Left Carotid US with Doppler Findings (unlabeled)





Left Carotid US with Doppler Findings (labeled)



Turbulent flow observed in the area of the false lumen created by the flap.



Final Dx:

Bilateral carotid webs



Case Discussion

- Demographics young patients, female and African American predominant (median age: 40.5y, IQR: 34-55y)¹
- Definition thought to be a rare variant of fibromuscular dysplasia²
 - Carotid webs are associated with a disturbed flow that might stimulate thrombus formation³
- Presentation ischemic stroke^{1,4} and pain in settings of trauma⁴
- Radiographic features usually a linear filling defect at the posterior aspect of the carotid bulb⁴
 - 22% of patients identified in a systematic review had bilateral carotid webs⁵



Case Discussion

- Carotid webs have a 2.3% prevalence in the United States⁶
 - Incidence of cryptogenic stroke associated with carotid web is 3.8 per 100,000 person years⁷
- Carotid webs are an important cause of cryptogenic stroke
 - Carotid webs seem to affect young, Black, and female patients in a higher proportion
 - Management:
 - Varied familiarity overall across subspecialities⁸
 - CTA was the preferred imaging modality⁸
 - Single (aspirin-only) or dual antiplatelet therapy were the most common management modalities⁸
 - Intervention (stent or endarterectomy) favored in recurrent strokes⁸



Patient Outcome

- Patient placed on anticoagulation (rivaroxaban) and continued aspirin for stroke prophylaxis
- No need for statin for secondary stroke prevention, given underlying arteriopathy
 - Patient did not tolerate the previous trial of statin
- Plan for repeat CTA in 1 year



References:

- 1. Kim SJ, Nogueira RG, Haussen DC. Current Understanding and Gaps in Research of Carotid Webs in Ischemic Strokes: A Review. JAMA Neurol. 2019;76(3):355-361. doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2018.3366
- 2. Choi PMC, Singh D, Trivedi A, et al. Carotid Webs and Recurrent Ischemic Strokes in the Era of CT Angiography. American Journal of Neuroradiology. 2015;36(11):2134-2139. doi:<u>10.3174/ajnr.A4431</u>
- 3. Compagne KCJ, Dilba K, Postema EJ, et al. Flow Patterns in Carotid Webs: A Patient-Based Computational Fluid Dynamics Study. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2019;40(4):703-708. doi:<u>10.3174/ajnr.A6012</u>
- 4. Coutinho JM, Derkatch S, Potvin AR, et al. Carotid artery web and ischemic stroke: A case-control study [published correction appears in Neurology. 2017 Aug 1;89(5):521]. Neurology. 2017;88(1):65-69. doi:10.1212/WNL.00000000003464
- Zhang, A. J., Dhruv, P., Choi, P., Bakker, C., Koffel, J., Anderson, D., Kim, J., Jagadeesan, B., Menon, B. K., & Streib, C. (2018). A Systematic Literature Review of Patients With Carotid Web and Acute Ischemic Stroke. Stroke, 49(12), 2872–2876. https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.118.021907
- 6. Mei J, Chen D, Esenwa C, et al. Carotid web prevalence in a large hospital-based cohort and its association with ischemic stroke. Clin Anat. 2021;34(6):867-871. doi:10.1002/ca.23735
- Grory, B. M., Emmer, B. J., Roosendaal, S. D., Zagzag, D., Yaghi, S., & Nossek, E. (2020). Carotid web: An occult mechanism of embolic stroke. Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry, 91(12), 1283–1289. https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp-2020-323938
- 8. Wojcik K, Milburn J, Vidal G, Tarsia J, Steven A. Survey of Current Management Practices for Carotid Webs. Ochsner J. 2019;19(4):296-302. doi:10.31486/toj.18.0114

